Influence of Various Modified Surface of Aluminium Alloy on the Effect of Pulsating Water Jet
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Erosion effects of a pulsating water jet impinging the surface of aluminium alloy samples pre-treated by various techniques (rough and fine milling, planing and rolling) were studied. The influence of the initial surface topography on the final topography of the sample exposed to the pulsating water jet was investigated. Based on roughness parameter Ra erosion of surface layers was analysed and discussed in relation to the traversing speed of the jet. It was found that initial surface pre-treatment have a significant impact on the final topography of the surface affected subsequently by pulsating water jet. Surfaces, whose properties are significantly affected by the action of some machining processes (i.e. milling) show much greater resistance to pulsating water jet than unpaved surfaces (i.e. rolling) and surfaces have smaller roughness. While milled (rough or fine) or planed surface roughness has approximately the same increase of Ra parameter, only rolled surfaces are up to 150 times rougher after pulsating water jet treatment. This is due to the small hardening of the surface layers and reduced durability against pulsating water jet in comparison with others investigated techniques. The highest roughness was achieved on all pre-treated surfaces at the lowest speeds, because the pulsating water jet affects the surface for a longer time.
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Highlights
- Surface parameters were obtained after PWJ treatment.
- Different influence of PWJ was found on various modified surfaces.
- Hardened surface layer during milling, which results in its greater resistance to the impact of the PWJ.
- The parameter Raratio was used to compare the roughness of surfaces before and after treatment.

0 INTRODUCTION

Over hundred years, researchers have been interested in the interaction of a liquid with a solid. One of the first researchers dealing with this subject was Cook [1] who wrote his article on the surface erosion in 1928. He clearly recognized the importance of the water hammer pressure.

Pulsating water jet (PWJ) has been successfully used for several industrial applications. Pulsating water jet is an extension of the conventional (continuous) jet, consisting of an array of high-speed water pulses. When a pulsating water jet impinges a target, the momentum flux through the nozzle is not transmitted to the target material as a steady force, but as a discontinuous sequence of impacts, creating high momentary stresses in the impingement zone. As a result, the peak pressure acting on the surface is not the stagnation pressure, but the significantly higher water hammer pressure [2] and [3].

There are several ways how to create the pulsating water jet as shown, for instance, in [4]. It can be done using mechanical interrupters, self-resonating systems, jets modulation by the piezoelectric magnetostrictive effects, jet disruption by electric discharge, laser based pulsating of water jets, etc. In our case, the system for the generation of acoustic pulsations developed at the Institute of Geonics of the ASCR, v. v. i. was used [5] to [7].

The high-speed pulsating water jets are generated by sufficiently high pressure pulsations in pressure water in the upstream direction to the nozzle exit. In the nozzle, the pressure pulsations change into speed pulsations and the jet emerges from the nozzle exit as a continuous jet with variable axial speed. Owing to the variable speed, the jet is formed into pulses at a certain stand-off distance towards the nozzle exit (so called forced break-up length of the jet) and it starts acting as a pulsating jet. Exploitation of effects related to the water pulses impingement on solids in the field of the high-speed water jet technology should result in considerable improvement of its performance, better adaptation to progressively more demanding environmental requirements and, consequently, more cost-saving use of the technology from the economical point of view [8].

Unlike classical continuous water jet, pulsating water jet is able to create different final texture in surface treatment and it is several times more effective [2].

The objective of the paper is to determine erosion effects of the pulsating water jet impinging the surface of aluminium alloy samples treated by various techniques (rough and fine milling, planing...
and rolling) and to discuss the influence of the initial surface topography on the final topography of the sample exposed to the pulsating water jet.

1 METHODS

Kerfs were formed successively with pulsating water jet on test samples. These aluminium alloy samples were pre-treated with various technological processes. Subsequently, surface roughness was obtained. We suppose that surface pre-treatment by various forming and machining techniques has significant influence on disintegration ability of subsequently applied pulsating water jet due to surface strengthening by particular technique ([9] and [10]).

The facility used for the experiment consisted basically of a high-pressure water supply system, pulsating water jet generator, robotic manipulator ABB IRB 6640-180/2.55 Master for traversing the jet over test samples and optical surface profilometer MicroProf FRT for the evaluation of surface characteristics of the samples [11].

The high-pressure water was supplied to the generator of pulsating water jet by a plunger pump Hammelmann HDP 253 able to deliver up to 65 l·min⁻¹ of water at the operating pressure up to 160 MPa. The generator was equipped with a commercially available fan nozzle with the equivalent orifice diameter of 2.0 mm and the spraying angle of 10°.

The generator of pulsating water jet was designed for the maximum operating pressure of 150 MPa. It consisted of a piezoelectric transducer vibrating at the operating frequency of about 20 kHz and it was driven by an ultrasonic generator Ecoson with the maximum output power of 800 W.

Test samples were manufactured from the aluminium alloy from Alfun Company known as EN AW 5083 H111 (Table 1). This alloy was chosen, because it is frequently used in construction. Industry often requires surface treatment of this material.

Table 1. Aluminuim alloy EN AW 5083 H111

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition [wt. %]</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical properties</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Firstly, the abrasive water jet technology was used to cut the samples from 10 mm thick sheet in order to eliminate thermal effects on the properties of samples [12] to [14]. Dimensions of the test samples were 10 mm × 60 mm × 100 mm (Fig. 1). Secondly, the samples surface was treated by rough milling, fine milling and planing. One sample remained untreated; its surface was only affected by rolling during the aluminium sheet manufacturing. Parameters of the used technological operations are presented in Table 2. Then, surfaces were scanned by an optical profilometer to determine the initial surface roughness of the prepared samples (before their exposition to the pulsating water jet) [15] and [16].

To compare the roughness of surfaces before and after treatment of the PWJ, the parameter \( \text{Ra}_{\text{ratio}} \) (Eq. (1)) was used, where \( \text{Ra} \) represents the roughness of surface after the PWJ treatment and \( \text{Ra}_{\text{ini}} \) represents the roughness of initial surface untreated by the PWJ:

\[
\text{Ra}_{\text{ratio}} = \frac{\text{Ra}}{\text{Ra}_{\text{ini}}}. \quad (1)
\]

Table 2. Parameters of technological operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface treatment</th>
<th>Technological parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rough milling</td>
<td>Milling cutter ø63 mm, 12 cutting edges, 360 RPM, traversing speed 65 mm·min⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine milling</td>
<td>Milling cutter ø63 mm, 12 cutting edges, 1500 RPM, traversing speed 470 mm·min⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planing</td>
<td>Planing machine 52 strokes per minute, feed rate 0.12 mm per stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 EXPERIMENTS

To enable to expose the sample surface to the effect of the pulsating water jet, the following testing procedure was developed (Fig. 2). A robotic arm ensured the nozzle movement with an exactly programmed trajectory. During experiments, the traversing speed of the nozzle was set to (0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 2.0 and 4.0) mm·s⁻¹; the stand-off distance was set to 55 mm. This stand-off distance was determined as optimal for experimental conditions based on previous tests [8]. The angle of incidence was set to 90°.

The tests were performed at the operating pressure of 20 MPa (the corresponding jet speed was approx. 180 m·s⁻¹). Pressure pulsations were generated in a pulsating water jet generator at the frequency of 20.09 kHz (the readout displayed by the ultrasonic generator); the amplitude of vibration of the ultrasonic sonotrode tip was set to 7 μm.

Each sample was exposed to the action of the pulsating jet in five areas according to a predefined
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An experimental plan based on variations of the traversing speed of the pulsating water jet (Fig. 1).

Subsequently, the optical profilometer MicroProf FRT was used for the 3D determination of sample surfaces (Fig. 3).

Measured data were processed using the SPIP software. Fig. 4 shows the areas of 40 mm × 5 mm measured on tested surfaces.
The distance of measurement points for every tested surface profile was set to 4 µm in the y direction and 250 µm in the x direction. Then, five profiles in each kerf of the obtained topography were analysed. After averaging, the profile was analysed and the 2D surface parameter $Ra$ was finally determined. It is the most commonly used parameter for assessing surface roughness. This parameter is included in the accuracy of the standards used in technical drawings and blueprints when not set a specific roughness condition. Statistically, this is a very stable and repeatable parameter.

### 3 RESULTS

The values of the parameter $Ra$ in relation to the traversing speed of the jet $v$ and the initial surface treatment of a material are presented in Fig. 5.

The least roughened initial surface with an average value of $Ra = 0.5$ µm was achieved on a surface affected by the rolling technology. This value was measured in a direction perpendicular to the rolling direction. The roughness of $Ra = 0.9$ µm was achieved on a fine milled surface. The planing technology showed the roughness of $Ra = 2.6$ µm in a direction perpendicular to the direction of the cutter. The roughest surface with the value of $Ra = 4.5$ µm was created using the rough milling technology.

Obtained results indicate that the surface pre-treatment of a material has a significant effect on the surface topography after the application of the pulsating water jet. Fig. 5 shows the surface roughness $Ra$ in relation to the traversing speed $v$ on various tested surfaces. It can be observed that at the traversing speed $v = 4.0$ mm·s$^{-1}$, the roughness $Ra$ does not really differ from its initial values. The roughest surface is the rough milled surface, followed by the planed surface, then the rolled surface and finally the surface treated by the fine milling. At the traversing speed $v = 2.0$ mm·s$^{-1}$, the PWJ has even stronger effect and roughness order of the rolled surface is changed. At the traversing speed $v = 1.0$ mm·s$^{-1}$, the PWJ effect on material surface is very strong and the roughness of the rolled surface increases rapidly. In contrast, the parameter $Ra$ does not change considerably on both roughly and finely milled surfaces. At the traversing speed $v = 0.75$ mm·s$^{-1}$, the value of $Ra$ for fine milled surface is still low. The $Ra$ value increases significantly in case of other surfaces. At the lowest traversing speed $v = 0.5$ mm·s$^{-1}$, very large volume removal occurs on every surface. Accordingly, the value $Ra$ increases significantly on all surfaces.
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If we look at Fig. 6 showing the ratio of the roughness $Ra$ before and after the PWJ action, we can realize that the roughness of the rolled surface treated by the PWJ at the traversing speed $v = 0.5 \text{ mm/s}$ has increased 150 times, by other surfaces only approximately 20 times.

4 DISCUSSION

The assumptions that surfaces with significant roughness (after rough milling) disrupt the effect of the PWJ and that the radial flow of the liquid along the surface aligns peaks created by rough milling were confirmed. Values of roughness $Ra$ are very similar to the values of the rolled and milled surfaces before the PWJ action. However, significant difference between these two surfaces occurs after using the PWJ. This could be caused by hardening of the surface layer during milling, which results in its greater resistance to the impact of the PWJ.

Surfaces treated by pulsating jets show larger effective area compared to those treated by continuous jet [17]. This knowledge could be utilized in applications where good adhesion of coating layer or new overlay material to substrate surface both in tension and shear is needed.

This feature of pulsating jets was confirmed by tests on repair of concrete structures. Generally speaking, repair materials better adhere to rough surface. Toutanj and Ortiz [18] reported that surface treatment by water jet produces a better bonding strength than surface treatment by sander. It was found [19] that the pulsating jet produces more “rough” surface with larger effective surface area compared to continuous one. During standard pull-off tests average bonding strength in the cases of the pulling off carried out on the contact between mortar and concrete was by about 38 % higher in the case of surfaces treated with pulsating water jet than in the cases of surfaces treated with continuous water jet [20].

5 CONCLUSIONS

Experimental work oriented on the evaluation of effects of the pulsating water jet on surfaces treated by different technologies shows that the erosion caused by repeated impacts of water pulses affects the material surface.

Generally, the highest values of roughness after the pulsating water jet application were achieved on surfaces pre-treated by the rolling and consequent annealing which relieved stresses in surface layer. In contrast, other surface treatments based on various machining methods strengthened surface layers and thereby hindered the jet from easy penetration into the material.

Practical meaning of this experiment is to determine which kind of surface is optimal for subsequent using of pulsating water jet. This could help to optimize the process and reduce costs in production. During the subsequent treatment of the surface, the parameters are intended by the pre-treatment technology. For example, travel speed or water pressure, which affects the economy significantly.
Next steps of the research in this area will be oriented at the study of the influence of the surface layer hardness on the erosion process caused by effects of the pulsating water jet and the investigation of changes of properties of surface layers due to impacts of the water pulses.
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