

Structural Optimization of an Engine Crankshaft-Bearing System Based on Deformation Coordination Analysis

S1. Detailed Derivation of Deformation Compatibility Equation

S1.1 Beam Idealization

The crankshaft is modeled as a continuous Euler–Bernoulli beam resting on seven elastic supports. Each span between supports is denoted as L_i .

At support i , the rotation angle results from superposition of:

- Bending moment at left support M_{i-1}
- Bending moment at right support M_i
- Concentrated load P_i
- Uniform distributed load q
- Equivalent bearing displacement ΔR_i

S1.2 Rotation Induced by Individual Loads

(1) Rotation caused by left bending moment

$$\theta_i^{(M_{i-1})} = \frac{M_{i-1}L_i}{6EI} \quad (S1)$$

(2) Rotation caused by right bending moment

$$\theta_i^{(M_i)} = -\frac{M_iL_i}{3EI} \quad (S2)$$

(3) Rotation caused by concentrated force

$$\theta_i^{(P)} = \frac{P_iL_i^2}{6EI} \quad (S3)$$

(4) Rotation caused by uniform distributed load

$$\theta_i^{(q)} = \frac{qL_i^3}{24EI} \quad (S4)$$

(5) Rotation caused by equivalent bearing displacement

$$\theta_i^{(\Delta R)} = \frac{\Delta R_i}{L_i} \quad (S5)$$

S1.3 Superposition and Continuity Condition

Total rotation at support i :

$$\theta_i = \sum_k \theta_i^{(k)} \quad (S6)$$

Continuity condition of the continuous beam:

$$\theta_i^{(left)} = \theta_i^{(right)} \quad (S7)$$

After arranging terms:

$$K_{i-1}\theta_{i-1} + K_i\theta_i + K_{i+1}\theta_{i+1} = F_i \quad (S8)$$

S2. Matrix Formulation of Support Reaction

Combining equilibrium equations for each span:

$$R = [A]\theta \quad (S9)$$

Where:

$$[A] = [a_{11} \ a_{12} \ \dots \ a_{1n} \ a_{21} \ a_{22} \ \dots \ a_{2n} \ \vdots \ \vdots \ \ddots \ \vdots \ a_{n1} \ a_{n2} \ \dots \ a_{nn}]$$

Matrix coefficients depend on:

- Span length L_i
- Elastic modulus E
- Section moment of inertia I

S3. Geometric Series Expansion for Oil-Film Approximation

From the oil-film displacement relation:

$$\Delta y_i = R_i f(\chi) \quad (S10)$$

Using geometric series expansion:

$$(1-t)^{-1} = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots \quad (|t| < 1) \quad (S11)$$

Let:

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \delta\chi \quad (S12)$$

Using Taylor expansion:

$$\Phi(\chi) \approx \Phi(\chi_0) + \Phi'(\chi_0)\delta\chi \quad (S13)$$

Higher-order infinitesimal terms are neglected.

S4. Mesh Convergence Study

Three mesh densities were tested:

Mesh Type	Elements	Nodes	Max Bearing Reaction (N)	Deviation (%)
Coarse	16208	28560	120950	5.8%
Medium	21379	36012	118420	Reference
Fine	25733	42980	114400	3.4%

Maximum deviation between medium and fine mesh: 3.4%

Thus, the medium mesh is selected.

S5. Detailed Hydrodynamic Lubrication Formulation

S5.1 Reynolds Equation Derivation

Starting from Navier–Stokes equations under thin-film assumptions:

- Laminar flow
- Isoviscous lubricant
- Negligible inertia
- Thin film

The Reynolds equation becomes:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(h^3 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(h^3 \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right) = 6\mu U \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \quad (S14)$$

S5.2 Film Geometry

Film thickness expression:

$$h(\theta) = c(1 + \chi \cos\theta) \quad (S15)$$

Where:

- c = radial clearance
- χ = eccentricity ratio

S5.3 Bearing Load Integration

Bearing load:

$$W = \int_0^{\pi} p(\theta) \cos\theta, d\theta \quad (\text{S16})$$

Using Gumbel boundary condition:

$$p=0 \quad \text{in cavitation region}$$

S5.4 Exponential Fitting Function

The bearing capacity factor is fitted as:

$$\Phi(\chi) = ae^{b\chi} + ce^{d\chi} \quad (\text{S17})$$

Fitting statistics:

- $R^2=0.998$
- RMSE = 0.012

S6. Model Limitations

1. Torsional deformation neglected.
2. Crankcase elasticity simplified.
3. Thermal effects not included.
4. Full transient TEHD not solved.

These simplifications are acceptable for quasi-static deformation-coordination analysis.